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## 2014/I5 AUTUMN AND Spring TERMS Attendance Report

## Overall Absence in Staffordshire

Staffordshire's absence percentage has been broadly in line with national annual absence rates. Both Staffordshire and the national average have improved by over Ipp between 2010/II and 2014/15.

Overall Absence \% in Staffordshire Schools


## Overall Absence by Phase

2014/I5 absence rates in Staffordshire primary and secondary, and primary/secondary combined were in line with the previous year. Pupils in secondary schools have higher absence rates to those in primary schools.

Staffordshire Overall \% Absence by phase


# 2014/I5 Autumn and Spring terms Attendance Report 

## Overall Absence by Phase - National Comparisons



## Primary Schools

$\Rightarrow$ Staffordshire Primary absence rates have remained slightly better than national over the past five academic years.
$\Rightarrow$ National Primary absence rates increased slightly whilst Staffordshire 2014/15 absence rates remained static in comparison to the previous year.


## Secondary Schools

$\Rightarrow$ Staffordshire secondary absence has been lower than the national average in each year since 2010/11.
$\Rightarrow$ There has been a slight increase in national absence percentage in from the previous year. Staffordshire's Secondary 2014/15 absence percentage is the same as the previous year.

## Pupil Referral Units Schools

$\Rightarrow$ Pupil Referral Units in Staffordshire had an absence rate of $39.5 \%$; this is a 3.6 pp improvement on the previous year (43.1\%).
$\Rightarrow$ Staffordshire PRU attendance percentage is 8.4 pp higher than national in 2014/15; this gap has reduced from 11.7pp in 2013/14.

Vulnerable groups' data relates to Primary and Secondary combined. Special School Attendance data is collected on an Overall Absence - Vulnerable Groups annual basis and therefore not included in two terms data

| Group | $\angle$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/II | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Trend $2011-2015$ |
| FSM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 8.3\% | 7.1\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% | 6.9\% | -1.4 pp y |
| National | 8.4\% | 7.3\% | 7.5\% | 6.6\% | 6.8\% | -1.6 pp y |
| Difference | -0.1 pp | -0.2 pp | 0.2 pp | 0.2 pp | 0.1 pp | 0.2 pp 자 |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 5.3\% | 4.5\% | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 4.3\% | -1.0 pp y |
| National | 5.7\% | 4.9\% | 5.2\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% | -1.2 pp y |
| Difference | -0.4 pp | -0.4 pp | -0.2 pp | -0.2 pp | -0.2 pp | 0.2 pp 入 |
| SEN (Statement \& EHCP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 7.3\% | 6.4\% | 6.7\% | 6.3\% | 6.4\% | -0.9 pp y |
| National | 8.1\% | 7.3\% | 7.5\% | 6.6\% | 6.9\% | -1.2 pp y |
| Difference | -0.8 pp | -0.9 pp | -0.8 pp | -0.3 pp | -0.5 pp | 0.3 pp 入 |
| Gypsy/Roma |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 18.5\% | 21.5\% | 22.0\% | 15.7\% | 15.9\% | -2.6 pp y |
| National | 17.2\% | 15.3\% | 14.9\% | 12.5\% | 12.5\% | -4.7 pp y |
| Difference | 1.3 pp | 6.2 pp | 7.1 pp | 3.2 pp | 3.4 pp | $2.1 \mathrm{pp} \lambda$ |
| Traveller of Irish heritage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 23.6\% | 22.9\% | 16.2\% | 12.1\% | 12.5\% | -11.1 pp v |
| National | 22.6\% | 21.1\% | 20.7\% | 17.9\% | 16.9\% | -5.7 pp y |
| Difference | 1.0 pp | 1.8 pp | -4.5 pp | -5.8 pp | -4.4 pp | -5.4 pp y |

Vulnerable groups' data does not include pupils on roll at PRUs
$\Rightarrow$ Attendance rates for pupils eligible for Free School Meals (FSM) were in line with national for 2010/II and 2011/I2, and in the three most recent years, Staffordshire's FSM pupils have had worse absence rates than national.
$\Rightarrow$ The attendance rates of Boys and Statement of SEN cohorts have remained below national averages, although the gap has narrowed for each pupil group.
$\Rightarrow$ The Gypsy/Roma pupil groups had worse than national absence rates with an improving trend, whilst Traveller of Irish Heritage pupils' attendance improved and has been below national for the last three years.
$\Rightarrow$ The attendance reporting of Looked after Children is currently under review and to be included in future reports when arrangements have been agreed.

## District Performance - Overall Absence

## Primary and Secondary Combined

| District | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2014- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cannock Chase | 6.1\% | 4.9\% | 5.6\% | 4.8\% | 4.7\% | -1.5pp | -0.2pp |
| East Staffs | 5.4\% | 4.8\% | 4.9\% | 4.1\% | 4.2\% | -1.2pp | 0.1 pp |
| Lichfield | 5.1\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% | 4.1\% | 4.2\% | -0.9pp | 0.1 pp |
| Newcastle | 5.1\% | 4.6\% | 5.1\% | 4.3\% | 4.3\% | -0.8pp | 0.0pp |
| South Staffs | 5.7\% | 4.6\% | 5.1\% | 4.3\% | 4.4\% | -1.3pp | 0.0pp |
| Stafford | 5.4\% | 4.5\% | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 4.3\% | -1.1pp | 0.0pp |
| Staffs Moorlands | 5.4\% | 4.6\% | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 4.3\% | -1.1Pp | 0.1 pp |
| Tamworth | 5.9\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | -1.4pp | 0.0pp |
| Staffordshire | 5.5\% | 4.7\% | 5.1\% | 4.3\% | 4.3\% | -1.2pp | 0.0pp |

$\Rightarrow$ The Cannock Chase district has seen the highest overall absence percentage in four of the last five years; however this district has improved the most in the last five years.
$\Rightarrow$ Lichfield and East Staffordshire have had the lowest overall absence percentages in the last two years.

## Primary

| District | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cannock Chase | 5.6\% | 4.6\% | 5.4\% | 4.1\% | 4.2\% | -1.4pp | 0.1 pp |
| East Staffs | 4.9\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | -1.1pp | 0.1 pp |
| Lichfield | 4.6\% | 4.1\% | 4.9\% | 3.7\% | 3.9\% | -0.7pp | 0.2pp |
| Newcastle | 4.7\% | 4.1\% | 4.8\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | -0.9pp | 0.1 pp |
| South Staffs | 4.9\% | 3.9\% | 4.6\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | -1.1pp | 0.1 pp |
| Stafford | 4.7\% | 3.9\% | 4.7\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | -1.0pp | 0.0pp |
| Staffs Moorlands | 4.9\% | 4.1\% | 4.7\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | -1.2pp | 0.0pp |
| Tamworth | 5.3\% | 4.5\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | -1.3pp | 0.0pp |
| Staffordshire | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 4.6\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | -1.3pp | 0.0pp |

$\Rightarrow$ The Cannock Chase district has seen the highest overall absence percentage for primary schools in each of the last five years.
$\Rightarrow$ Primary schools in the Stafford district have had the lowest overall absence percentage in 2013/14 and 2014/15.

## Secondary

| District | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cannock Chase | 6.7\% | 5.6\% | 6.2\% | 5.9\% | 5.4\% | -1.3pp | -0.4pp |
| East Staffs | 6.1\% | 5.3\% | 5.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.6\% | -1.5pp | 0.1 pp |
| Lichfield | 5.7\% | 4.8\% | 5.2\% | 4.7\% | 4.8\% | -1.0pp | 0.0pp |
| Newcastle | 5.4\% | 5.1\% | 5.5\% | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | -0.4pp | -0.2pp |
| South Staffs | 6.3\% | 5.2\% | 5.6\% | 4.9\% | 4.9\% | -1.4pp | 0.0pp |
| Stafford | 6.0\% | 5.5\% | 5.6\% | 5.1\% | 5.1\% | -0.9pp | 0.0pp |
| Staffs Moorlands | 5.8\% | 5.1\% | 5.3\% | 4.7\% | 4.8\% | -1.0pp | 0.2 pp |
| Tamworth | 6.7\% | 5.6\% | - | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | -1.4pp | 0.0pp |
| Staffordshire | 6.1\% | 5.3\% | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | -I.1pp | 0.0pp |

$\Rightarrow$ The Cannock Chase district has the highest absence percentage for secondary schools between 2012/13 and 2014/15.
$\Rightarrow$ Secondary schools in East
Staffordshire have had the lowest overall absence rates for the past two years, and also the largest improvement over the last 5 years.

## Attendance Codes Used

## Primary

|  | \% of possible sessions missed - absence rates |  |  | Auth / Unauth Split |  | Percentage of Absence codes used Authorised |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Absence codes used Unauthorised |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ie } \\ & \text { y } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{x} \\ & \dot{x} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \frac{5}{c} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \text { en } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 2010/11 | 4.9\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 94.4\% | 5.6\% | 4.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 14.3\% | 69.2\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.8\% |
| 2011/12 | 4.2\% | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 93.6\% | 6.4\% | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 17.1\% | 65.1\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 0.8\% |
| 2012/13 | 4.6\% | 4.3\% | 0.3\% | 93.2\% | 6.8\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 14.8\% | 67.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.8\% |
| 2013/14 | 3.7\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | 87.5\% | 12.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 6.5\% | 69.4\% | 5.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.7\% | 0.5\% | 5.2\% | 1.2\% |
| 2014/15 | 3.7\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | 86.0\% | 14.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 73.3\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.8\% | 0.6\% | 5.3\% | 1.2\% |
| Trend | -1.2pp | -1.4pp | 0.2pp | -8.4pp | 8.4pp | -0.5pp | 0.1pp | -0.3pp | -12.3pp | 4.1pp | 0.9pp | -0.4pp | 0.0pp | 0.0pp | 6.0pp | 0.2pp | 1.7 pp | 0.4pp |

$\Rightarrow$ The proportion of unauthorised absence in Primary schools has increased by 8.4pp, with authorised attendance decreasing by the same amount.
$\Rightarrow$ Over the past five academic years authorised holidays have reduced and unauthorised holidays have increased.
$\Rightarrow$ The proportion of absences coded as 'lllness' and unauthorised 'Holiday' has increased by 4. Ipp and 6.0pp respectively since $2010 / \mathrm{II}$.

## Secondary

|  | \% of possible sessions missed - absence rates |  |  | Auth / Unauth Split |  | Percentage of Absence codes used Authorised |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Absence codes used Unauthorised |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & \begin{array}{l} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{5}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & e \\ & \vdots \\ & y \\ & \stackrel{y}{\|c\|} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 2010/11 | 6.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.8\% | 86.9\% | 13.1\% | 5.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% | 65.7\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 8.8\% | 0.8\% |
| 2011/12 | 5.3\% | 4.6\% | 0.7\% | 86.1\% | 13.9\% | 5.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.1\% | 6.6\% | 64.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | 9.5\% | 0.8\% |
| 2012/13 | 5.6\% | 4.8\% | 0.8\% | 86.2\% | 13.8\% | 5.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 65.8\% | 7.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.5\% | 1.1\% | 9.5\% | 0.7\% |
| 2013/14 | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.8\% | 83.9\% | 16.1\% | 6.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 64.5\% | 8.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.9\% | 10.8\% | 0.8\% |
| 2014/15 | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.8\% | 84.0\% | 16.0\% | 6.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 66.7\% | 8.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% | 11.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Trend | -1.1pp | -1.1pp | 0.0pp | -2.8pp | 2.8pp | 0.5pp | 0.1pp | -0.1pp | -5.6pp | 1.0pp | 1.9 pp | -0.2pp | -0.5pp | 0.1pp | 1.6pp | -1.1pp | 2.2pp | 0.1pp |

$\Rightarrow$ The proportion of unauthorised absence in Secondary schools has increased by $2.8 \%$, with authorised attendance decreasing by the same amount.
$\Rightarrow$ The proportion of absences coded as authorised holiday has reduced and unauthorised holiday has increased, this trend is similar to the Primary phase with reduced variations.
$\Rightarrow$ The proportion of absence sessions coded as 'Medical /Dental Appointments' and unauthorised 'Other' has increased by I.9pp and 2.2pp respectively since 2010/II.

## 2014／I5 Autumn and Spring terms Attendance Report

## Gender Gap

| 5.6 | Overall Absence Percentage Gender Gap |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| － $0.2 \mathrm{2pp}$ | 5.1 |  |  |  |
| 5.3 | 4.7 | －0．1pp |  |  |
|  | －0．2pp | $5.0$ | 4.4 | 4.4 |
|  | 4.5 |  | －0．2pp | －0．1pp |
|  |  |  | 4.2 | 4.3 |

Boys
－Girls
$\Rightarrow$ The gender gap for overall attendance has reduced with boys overall absence 0.1 pp less than girls．
$\Rightarrow$ Boys overall absence has increased slightly by 0.1 pp to $4.3 \%$ ，whilst girls＇absence has remained at $4.4 \%$ ．
$\Rightarrow$ Similar trends can be seen looking at codes used in primary and secondary phases between girls and boys．
$\Rightarrow$ The main reason code used for absence is illness，with girls generally having a slightly higher proportion at primary and secondary age．
$\Rightarrow$ Authorised holiday has reduced for primary and secondary whilst unauthorised holiday has increased．

Primary Codes by Gender

|  |  | \％of possible sessions missed －absence rates |  |  | Auth／Unauth Split |  | Percentage of Absence codes used－ Authorised |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Absence codes used－ Unauthorised |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | Year | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{3}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & e \\ & z \\ & z \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline ⿰ 亻 ⿱ 丶 ⿻ 工 二 十 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ce } \\ & \frac{0}{n} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{10}{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{0}{3} \\ \frac{1}{0} \\ \frac{1}{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 2 8 8 |
| Boys | 2010／11 | 4．9\％ | 4．6\％ | 0．3\％ | 94．4\％ | 5．6\％ | 4．6\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．3\％ | 14．2\％ | 68．9\％ | 4．8\％ | 0．8\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．7\％ | 0．4\％ | 3．7\％ | 0．8\％ |
|  | 2011／12 | 4．2\％ | 3．9\％ | 0．3\％ | 93．5\％ | 6．5\％ | 4．4\％ | 0．9\％ | 0．3\％ | 17．0\％ | 64．3\％ | 5．7\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．5\％ | 1．3\％ | 0．5\％ | 3．9\％ | 0．8\％ |
|  | 2012／13 | 4．8\％ | 4．5\％ | 0．3\％ | 93．3\％ | 6．7\％ | 5．3\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．2\％ | 13．7\％ | 68．1\％ | 4．8\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 1．4\％ | 0．4\％ | 4．1\％ | 0．8\％ |
|  | 2013／14 | 3．8\％ | 3．3\％ | 0．4\％ | 88．2\％ | 11．8\％ | 4．3\％ | 0．9\％ | 0．1\％ | 5．9\％ | 70．5\％ | 5．8\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 5．3\％ | 0．5\％ | 4．9\％ | 1．1\％ |
|  | 2014／15 | 3．9\％ | 3．4\％ | 0．5\％ | 86．6\％ | 13．4\％ | 4．1\％ | 0．8\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．9\％ | 73．7\％ | 5．7\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 6．2\％ | 0．7\％ | 5．2\％ | 1．2\％ |
|  | Trend | －1．0\％ | －1．2\％ | 0．2\％ | －7．8\％ | 7．8\％ | －0．5\％ | 0．2\％ | －0．3\％ | －12．3\％ | 4．8\％ | 0．9\％ | －0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 5．5\％ | 0．3\％ | 1．6\％ | 0．4\％ |
| Girls | 2010／11 | 5．0\％ | 4．7\％ | 0．3\％ | 94．5\％ | 5．5\％ | 4．4\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．4\％ | 14．5\％ | 69．8\％ | 4．4\％ | 0．7\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．9\％ | 0．4\％ | 3．4\％ | 0．8\％ |
|  | 2011／12 | 4．2\％ | 3．9\％ | 0．3\％ | 93．6\％ | 6．4\％ | 4．1\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．3\％ | 17．3\％ | 65．9\％ | 5．2\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．4\％ | 1．4\％ | 0．4\％ | 3．7\％ | 0．9\％ |
|  | 2012／13 | 4．8\％ | 4．5\％ | 0．3\％ | 93．1\％ | 6．9\％ | 5．2\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．2\％ | 14．6\％ | 68．1\％ | 4．4\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 1．4\％ | 0．4\％ | 4．2\％ | 0．9\％ |
|  | 2013／14 | 3．9\％ | 3．4\％ | 0．5\％ | 87．9\％ | 12．1\％ | 4．2\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．1\％ | 6．4\％ | 71．0\％ | 5．4\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 5．3\％ | 0．5\％ | 5．2\％ | 1．2\％ |
|  | 2014／15 | 3．8\％ | 3．3\％ | 0．5\％ | 86．1\％ | 13．9\％ | 3．8\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．1\％ | 74．3\％ | 5．3\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 6．9\％ | 0．6\％ | 5．1\％ | 1．2\％ |
|  | Trend | －1．1\％ | －1．4\％ | 0．3\％ | －8．4\％ | 8．4\％ | －0．6\％ | 0．0\％ | －0．4\％ | －12．4\％ | 4．4\％ | 0．9\％ | －0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 6．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 1．8\％ | 0．4\％ |

Secondary（Including Middle Schools）Codes by Gender

|  |  | \％of possible sessions missed－absence rates |  |  | Auth／Unauth Split |  | Percentage of Absence codes used－ Authorised |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Absence codes used－ Unauthorised |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e8 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{8}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{0}{5} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & e \\ & y \\ & y \\ & \text { y } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{c}{c} \\ \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{0}{c} \\ \frac{1}{c} \\ \end{gathered}$ | 3 2 3 3 3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{0}{3} \\ \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{1}{i} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
|  | 2010／11 | 5．8\％ | 5．1\％ | 0．8\％ | 87．0\％ | 13．0\％ | 6．0\％ | 2．2\％ | 0．1\％ | 6．5\％ | 65．3\％ | 6．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．1\％ | 1．5\％ | 1．8\％ | 9．0\％ | 0．7\％ |
|  | 2011／12 | 5．0\％ | 4．4\％ | 0．7\％ | 86．8\％ | 13．2\％ | 6．3\％ | 2．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 7．1\％ | 63．5\％ | 7．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．1\％ | 2．1\％ | 1．3\％ | 9．0\％ | 0．8\％ |
|  | 2012／13 | 5．4\％ | 4．6\％ | 0．8\％ | 85．5\％ | 14．5\％ | 5．7\％ | 1．6\％ | 0．0\％ | 5．6\％ | 65．0\％ | 6．8\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．1\％ | 2．5\％ | 1．3\％ | 10．0\％ | 0．8\％ |
|  | 2013／14 | 4．8\％ | 4．0\％ | 0．8\％ | 83．4\％ | 16．6\％ | 6．7\％ | 2．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．8\％ | 64．0\％ | 7．8\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．1\％ | 3．6\％ | 0．9\％ | 11．1\％ | 0．9\％ |
|  | 2014／15 | 4．8\％ | 4．1\％ | 0．8\％ | 84．1\％ | 15．9\％ | 6．3\％ | 2．6\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．7\％ | 66．5\％ | 7．7\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 3．1\％ | 1．0\％ | 11．0\％ | 0．9\％ |
|  | Trend | －1．0\％ | －1．0\％ | 0．0\％ | －2．9\％ | 2．9\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．3\％ | －0．1\％ | －5．8\％ | 1．2\％ | 1．7\％ | －0．1\％ | －0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．6\％ | －0．9\％ | 2．0\％ | 0．2\％ |
|  | 2010／11 | 6．2\％ | 5．5\％ | 0．8\％ | 87．9\％ | 12．1\％ | 5．6\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．1\％ | 6．5\％ | 67．5\％ | 6．8\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．5\％ | 1．6\％ | 8．4\％ | 0．6\％ |
|  | 2011／12 | 5．4\％ | 4．7\％ | 0．7\％ | 87．3\％ | 12．7\％ | 6．3\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．1\％ | 6．9\％ | 64．9\％ | 8．1\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．0\％ | 1．2\％ | 8．7\％ | 0．8\％ |
|  | 2012／13 | 5．7\％ | 4．9\％ | 0．7\％ | 87．0\％ | 13．0\％ | 5．7\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．0\％ | 5．8\％ | 66．7\％ | 7．8\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．5\％ | 1．2\％ | 8．4\％ | 0．8\％ |
|  | 2013／14 | 5．2\％ | 4．4\％ | 0．8\％ | 84．4\％ | 15．6\％ | 7．1\％ | 0．8\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．8\％ | 65．1\％ | 8．9\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．1\％ | 3．6\％ | 0．9\％ | 10．4\％ | 0．7\％ |
|  | 2014／15 | 5．1\％ | 4．3\％ | 0．8\％ | 84．0\％ | 16．0\％ | 6．3\％ | 0．7\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．8\％ | 67．0\％ | 8．9\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 3．0\％ | 1．0\％ | 11．1\％ | 0．9\％ |
|  | Trend | －1．1\％ | －1．2\％ | 0．1\％ | －3．9\％ | 3．9\％ | 0．7\％ | 0．2\％ | －0．1\％ | －5．7\％ | －0．5\％ | 2．1\％ | 0．0\％ | －0．5\％ | 0．1\％ | 1．5\％ | －0．6\％ | 2．7\％ | 0．3\％ |

## Pupils Classed as Persistent Absentees in Staffordshire

A pupil is classed as being persistently absent if they miss over $15 \%$ of sessions ( $10 \%$ of sessions from 2015/16). Staffordshire has experienced improving performance from 2010/II to 2014/I5 for both $15 \%$ and $10 \%$ measures. Staffordshire has performed better than national in 2014/I5 and the majority of the academic years from 2010/II for both measures.

I 5\% P.A. measure


New Arrangements
From September 2015 (start of the 2015/16 academic year) schools will be judged against a persistent absence rate of 10 per cent rather than 15 per cent. The 10 per cent measure will be published alongside the 15 per cent measure for the 2014/I5 "Pupil absence in schools in England" releases for information purposes only. (DfE)

## Percentage of Pupils Classed as Persistent Absentees by Phase

Persistent Absence rates in Staffordshire primary and secondary schools followed a similar improving trend to Staffordshire and national average from 2010/II to 2014/I5. Pupils in secondary schools are more likely to be classed as persistent absentees than those in primary schools. Secondary schools have seen a slight increase in the $10 \%$ P.A. measure in 2014/I5.

15\% P.A. measure


## Pupils Classed as Persistent Absentees National Comparisons by Phase



## Primary Schools

$\Rightarrow$ Staffordshire Primary persistent absence rates have reduced from 2010/11 to 2014/15 and remained below the national average.
$\Rightarrow$ The gap between Staffordshire Primary schools and the national Primary School average remained static for the $15 \%$ P.A. measure and improved for the $10 \%$ P.A. measure.

## Secondary Schools

$\Rightarrow$ Staffordshire secondary persistent absence percentage was lower than the national average in both $15 \%$ and $10 \%$ measures for 2014/15.
$\Rightarrow$ The gap between Staffordshire and national has improved in both measures for 2014/15 in comparison to the previous year.

## Pupil Referral Units Schools


$\Rightarrow$ Due to changes in the national data collection, 2012/13 PRU attendance data was not collected. Comparable LA/national data is only available from 2013/14 for the 15\% measure and 2014/15 for the $10 \%$ measure.
$\Rightarrow$ The percentage of pupils in Pupil Referral Units classed as P.A. for the $15 \%$ measure was $58.3 \%$ in 2014/15, 20.3pp higher than the national PRU rate of $38.0 \%$.
$\Rightarrow$ In 2014/15 78.6\% of Staffordshire's PRUs' pupils were classed as P.A. for the 10\% measure, 10pp higher than national.

## District Performance - Pupils Classed as Persistent Absentees

## Primary and Secondary Combined

|  | 15\% of sessions missed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10\% of sessions missed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2011- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2014- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2011- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2014- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cannock Chase | 7.7\% | 4.5\% | 5.2\% | 5.1\% | 4.1\% | -3.5pp | -1.0pp | 19.3\% | 12.9\% | 15.9\% | 11.4\% | 11.5\% | -7.9pp | 0.1 pp |
| East Staffs | 6.3\% | 4.6\% | 4.1\% | 3.9\% | 3.7\% | -2.6pp | -0.2pp | 15.4\% | 12.6\% | 12.5\% | 9.5\% | 9.8\% | -5.5pp | 0.3 pp |
| Lichfield | 5.1\% | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.2\% | -2.0pp | -0.2pp | 14.0\% | 10.7\% | 12.6\% | 9.1\% | 9.6\% | -4.4pp | 0.4pp |
| Newcastle | 5.4\% | 4.0\% | 4.3\% | 4.1\% | 3.7\% | -1.7pp | -0.4pp | 14.1\% | 11.8\% | 13.5\% | 10.6\% | 10.6\% | -3.6pp | 0.0pp |
| South Staffs | 7.1\% | 4.2\% | 4.5\% | 4.2\% | 3.3\% | -3.7pp | -0.9pp | 16.3\% | 12.1\% | 13.5\% | 10.3\% | 10.9\% | -5.4pp | 0.7 pp |
| Stafford | 6.0\% | 3.7\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 3.5\% | -2.5pp | -0.5pp | 14.9\% | 11.6\% | 13.0\% | 9.6\% | 9.6\% | -5.2pp | 0.0pp |
| Staffs Moorlands | 6.2\% | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 3.5\% | -2.6pp | -0.6pp | 14.9\% | 11.8\% | 12.8\% | 10.2\% | 9.9\% | -4.9pp | -0.2pp |
| Tamworth | 8.0\% | 4.7\% | 3.7\% | 4.6\% | 4.0\% | -4.0pp | -0.6pp | 18.1\% | 13.5\% | 13.3\% | 11.0\% | 10.7\% | -7.4pp | -0.2pp |
| Staffordshire | 6.5\% | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 4.1\% | 3.6\% | -2.9pp | -0.5pp | 15.8\% | 12.1\% | 13.3\% | 10.2\% | 10.2\% | -5.6pp | 0.0pp |

$\Rightarrow$ The Cannock Chase district had the highest Persistent Absence rates percentages in the last three years in both measures. This district did see the highest improvement in the $10 \%$ measure and a notable improvement in the $15 \%$ measure.
$\Rightarrow$ Lichfield had the lowest percentage of pupils classed as persistent absentees in 2013/14 and 2014/15 for each of the $10 \%$ and $15 \%$ measures.

Primary

|  | 15\% of sessions missed |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10\% of sessions missed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2011- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2014- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2011- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2014- \\ 2015 \\ \text { change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Cannock Chase | 5.8\% | 3.5\% | 4.3\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | -2.9pp | -0.4pp | 16.8\% | 11.8\% | 15.2\% | 9.1\% | 9.4\% | -7.4pp | 0.3pp |
| East Staffs | 4.8\% | 3.3\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | -2.1pp | 0.0 pp | 13.4\% | 10.8\% | 10.8\% | 8.0\% | 8.4\% | -5.1pp | 0.4pp |
| Lichfield | 4.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | -1.7pp | -0.1pp | 11.7\% | 9.1\% | 12.0\% | 7.7\% | 8.3\% | -3.3pp | 0.6 pp |
| Newcastle | 4.3\% | 2.8\% | 3.4\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | -1.6pp | 0.1 pp | 13.0\% | 9.6\% | 12.0\% | 8.2\% | 8.6\% | -4.4pp | 0.4pp |
| South Staffs | 4.6\% | 2.4\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 2.9\% | -1.7pp | -0.3pp | 12.5\% | 8.6\% | 11.3\% | 8.3\% | 8.0\% | -4.5pp | -0.2pp |
| Stafford | 4.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | -1.7pp | -0.2pp | 11.9\% | 8.9\% | 11.6\% | 7.5\% | 7.5\% | -4.5pp | 0.0pp |
| Staffs Moorlands | 4.2\% | 2.5\% | 3.1\% | 2.8\% | 2.2\% | -2.1pp | -0.6pp | 12.3\% | 9.1\% | 11.2\% | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | -5.3pp | -0.8pp |
| Tamworth | 5.2\% | 3.2\% | 3.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | -2.6pp | -0.4pp | 15.2\% | 11.5\% | 13.3\% | 8.6\% | 8.8\% | -6.4pp | 0.2pp |
| Staffordshire | 4.6\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | -2.3pp | -0.1pp | 16.5\% | 13.5\% | 14.4\% | 10.7\% | 11.1\% | -5.4pp | 0.4pp |

$\Rightarrow$ Primary schools in the Cannock Chase district had the highest Persistent Absence percentages in each of the last five years in both $10 \%$ and $15 \%$ measures. This district experienced the highest improvement between 2010/11 and 2014/15.
$\Rightarrow$ Staffordshire Moorlands had the lowest Persistent Absence rates in 2014/15 for both measures, and has also seen the most improvement in comparison to the previous year for both measures.

## District Performance - Pupils Classed as Persistent Absentees continued

## Secondary



Note: Tamworth Secondary schools all had Academy status in 2013/14 therefore the LA did not have access any secondary attendance data for this district.
$\Rightarrow$ The Tamworth and Cannock Chase districts had the highest percentage of Persistent Absentees for the $15 \%$ and $10 \%$ measures in 2014/15. Tamworth made the largest improvement over the last five years for the $15 \%$ measure, whilst Cannock Chase made the largest improvement in comparison to the previous year for the $15 \%$ measure.
$\Rightarrow$ Cannock Chase had the highest P.A. percentage for the new $10 \%$ measure in each of the last three years.
$\Rightarrow$ Tamworth had the highest percentage point improvements from 2010/11 to 2014/15 and in comparison to the previous year.

Vulnerable groups＇data relates to Primary and Secondary combined．Special School Attendance data is collected on an annual basis and therefore not included in two terms data

Pupils Classed as Persistent Absentees missing 15\％of sessions－Vulnerable Groups

| Group | 2010／11 | 2011／12 | 2012／13 | 2013／14 | 2014／15 | Trend 2012-2015* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FSM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 15．0\％ | 10．4\％ | 10．4\％ | 10．2\％ | 9．6\％ | －0．8 pp y |
| National | N／A | 10．6\％ | 10．6\％ | 9．3\％ | 9．3\％ | －1．3 pp y |
| Difference | N／A | －0．2 pp | －0．2 pp | 0.9 pp | 0.3 pp | 0.5 pp 入 |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 6．0\％ | 3．9\％ | 4．0\％ | 3．9\％ | 3．6\％ | －0．3 pp v |
| National | N／A | 4．8\％ | 4．8\％ | 4．0\％ | 3．9\％ | －0．9 pp y |
| Difference | N／A | －0．9 pp | －0．8 pp | －0．1 pp | －0．3 pp | 0.6 pp 入 |
| SEN（Statement \＆EHCP） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 12．5\％ | 9．4\％ | 8．9\％ | 9．5\％ | 8．9\％ | －0．5 pp y |
| National | N／A | I 1．1\％ | 10．7\％ | 9．8\％ | 9．7\％ | － 1.4 pp צ |
| Difference | N／A | －1．7 pp | －1．8 pp | －0．3 pp | －0．8 pp | 0.9 pp 入 |
| Gypsy／Roma |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 52．8\％ | 58．1\％ | 54．3\％ | 42．0\％ | 44．3\％ | －13．8 pp y |
| National | N／A | 32．8\％ | 30．1\％ | 25．6\％ | 21．9\％ | －10．9 pp y |
| Difference | N／A | 25.3 pp | 24.2 pp | 16.4 pp | 22.4 pp | －2．9 pp צ |
| Traveller of Irish heritage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 55．6\％ | 56．5\％ | 29．6\％ | 30．0\％ | 17．9\％ | －38．6 pp v |
| National | N／A | 43．8\％ | 41．9\％ | 39．4\％ | 33．2\％ | －10．6 pp y |
| Difference | N／A | 12.7 pp | － 12.3 pp | －9．4 pp | －15．3 pp | －28．0 pp y |

＊Trend column shows the difference between $20 \mathrm{II} / \mathrm{I} 2$ and $2014 / \mathrm{I} 5$ as comparable national data not available for 2010／II
Vulnerable groups＇data does not include pupils on roll at PRUs
$\Rightarrow$ Persistent absence rates for pupils eligible for Free School Meal were in line with national for 2010／II to 2012／I3．In 2013／14 and 2014／I5 P．A．percentages of FSM eligible pupils in Staffordshire were worse than the national average．
$\Rightarrow$ The persistent absence rates of Boys and Statement of SEN are slightly better than national averages．The gap has narrowed for each pupil group．
$\Rightarrow$ The Gypsy／Roma pupil group have seen an increase in the percentage of Persistent Absentees whilst national has seen a reduction－Staffordshire is now 22．4pp worse than national．
$\Rightarrow$ The Traveller of Irish Heritage pupil group have had a lower proportion of pupils classed as persistent absentees in the last three years，and has improved at a faster rate than national．
$\Rightarrow$ The attendance reporting of Looked after Children is currently under review and to be included in future reports when arrangements have been agreed．


Vulnerable groups' data relates to Primary and Secondary combined. Special School Attendance data is collected on an annual basis and therefore not included in two terms data

## Pupils Classed as Persistent Absentees missing 10\% of sessions - Vulnerable Groups

| Group | 2010/II | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Trend 2011-2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FSM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 30.9\% | 25.0\% | 26.6\% | 21.7\% | 23.0\% | -7.9 pp צ |
| National |  | Not published b | efore 2014/15 |  | 21.6\% |  |
| Difference | - | - | - | - | 1.4 pp |  |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 14.8\% | 11.7\% | 13.0\% | 9.8\% | 10.3\% | -4.5 pp y |
| National |  | Not published b | efore 2014/15 |  | 11.1\% |  |
| Difference | - | - | - | - | -0.8 pp |  |
| SEN (Statement \& EHCP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 24.7\% | 19.9\% | 20.5\% | 20.4\% | 19.4\% | -5.3 pp |
| National |  | Not published b | efore 2014/15 |  | 20.6\% |  |
| Difference | - | - | - | - | -1.2 pp |  |
| Gypsy/Roma |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 66.7\% | 77.0\% | 71.3\% | 66.0\% | 63.1\% | -3.6 pp y |
| National |  | Not published b | efore 2014/15 |  | 44.7\% |  |
| Difference |  | - | - | - | 18.4 pp |  |
| Traveller of Irish heritage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staffordshire | 81.5\% | 60.9\% | 48.1\% | 45.0\% | 48.2\% | -33.3 pp |
| National |  | Not published b | efore 2014/15 |  | 58.4\% |  |
| Difference | - | - | - | - | - 10.2 pp |  |

Vulnerable groups' data does not include pupils on roll at PRUs
$\Rightarrow$ Staffordshire has a higher rate of Persistent Absentees ( $10 \%$ measure) than national for the FSM and Gypsy/Roma groups.
$\Rightarrow$ The persistent absence rates of Boys, Statement of SEN, and Traveller of Irish Heritage cohorts are below national averages.
$\Rightarrow$ The attendance reporting of Looked after Children is currently under review and to be included in future reports when arrangements have been agreed.

## Academy / Maintained comparison

## Overall Attendance

| Phase | Status | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | Staffordshire Total | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | 0.1 pp |
|  | Academy | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.1 pp |
|  | Maintained | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 0.0pp |
|  | Difference | -0.2 pp | -0.3 pp | -0.1pp |
| Secondary | Staffordshire Total | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0pp |
|  | Academy | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0pp |
|  | Maintained | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 0.Ipp |
|  | Difference | -0.1 pp | 0.0 pp | 0.1pp |

$\Rightarrow$ Overall absence in maintained primary schools is slightly lower than academy primary schools. Maintained schools had an overall absence rate of $3.8 \%$; this is 0.3 pp lower than academy primary schools at $4.1 \%$.
$\Rightarrow$ Both maintained and academy secondary schools had an absence rate of $5.0 \%$ in 2014/I5.
Persistent Absentees

| Phase | Status | 15\% P.A. Measure |  |  | 10\% P.A. Measure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Change | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Change |
| Primary | Staffordshire Total | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | -0.2pp | 6.0\% | 5.9\% | -0.1 pp |
|  | Academy | 3.6\% | 3.2\% | -0.4pp | 7.1\% | 7.2\% | 0.1 pp |
|  | Maintained | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | -0.2pp | 5.9\% | 5.7\% | -0.2pp |
|  | Difference | -0.9 pp | -0.7 pp | 0.2pp | -1.2 pp | -1.5 PP | -0.3pp |
| Secondary | Staffordshire Total | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | -1.0pp | 9.6\% | 9.4\% | -0.2pp |
|  | Academy | 6.3\% | 5.1\% | -1.2pp | 9.7\% | 9.0\% | -0.7pp |
|  | Maintained | 5.8\% | 4.9\% | -0.9pp | 9.6\% | 9.7\% | 0.1 pp |
|  | Difference | -0.5 pp | -0.2 pP | 0.3pp | -0.1 pp | 0.7 PP | 0.8pp |

$\Rightarrow$ Primary academy schools had a persistent absence rate higher than those of maintained schools for the last two years for both the $15 \%$ and $10 \%$ measures.
$\Rightarrow$ Secondary academy schools had higher persistent absence percentages than maintained secondary schools in 2013/14 and 2014/15.
$\Rightarrow \ln$ 2014/15 maintained secondary schools had a persistent absence rate of $9.7 \%$ which was 0.7 pp higher than academy secondary schools at $9.0 \%$.

